

Factorization of operator pencils

HEINZ LANGER

Let L be the pencil

$$(1) \quad L(\lambda) = \lambda^n I + \lambda^{n-1} L_{n-1} + \dots + \lambda L_1 + L_0$$

where the coefficients L_0, L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} are bounded operators in a Banach space \mathfrak{B} . We set

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{n-1} & -L_{n-2} & \dots & -L_1 & -L_0 \\ I & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

this is an operator in $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}^n$. In the papers [1]—[5] it was shown that there is a close connection between certain invariant subspaces of \mathbf{L} and the representation of $L(\lambda)$ as the product of a pencil of degree $n-1$ and of another of degree 1 with leading coefficients I . The aim of this note is the study of a similar connection for other types of factorizations, e.g. for those into factors of degree >1 each.

If the underlying space is a Hilbert space, $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{H}$, and the coefficients in (1) are selfadjoint, then the invariant subspaces of \mathbf{L} we shall treat are maximal nonnegative or maximal nonpositive with respect to some indefinite scalar product on $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}^n$.

Some of the results may turn out to be new even in the case of a matrix pencil. Theorem 4, for instance, states that in a unitary space a pencil of degree n with hermitean matrix coefficients can be written as the product of two pencils of degrees $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$, such that one factor is invertible in the open upper and the other is invertible in the open lower half plane.¹⁾

Received October 1, 1974, revised January 14, 1975.

¹⁾ The author expresses his sincere thanks to A. S. Markus and J. Bognár for useful suggestions. To J. Bognár he is also indebted for a rewriting of the original manuscript in real English.

1. Preliminaries

In the following all the operators are bounded. If \mathfrak{H} is a Hilbert space with scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and G is a selfadjoint operator in \mathfrak{H} , we define the G -scalar product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by the equation

$$(3) \quad [x, y] = (Gx, y) \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{H}).$$

We only need the case where G is indefinite and boundedly invertible, that is, in the terminology of [6] \mathfrak{H} is a Krein space with respect to the scalar product (3). A subspace $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ is called G -nonnegative, G -nonpositive or G -neutral according as $[x, x] \geq 0$, ≤ 0 or $= 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{Q}$; it is called uniformly G -positive if $[x, x] \geq \gamma \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{Q}$ with some $\gamma > 0$. A G -nonnegative subspace which is not properly contained in any other G -nonnegative subspace is called maximal G -nonnegative. An operator A in \mathfrak{H} is said to be G -selfadjoint, if $GA = (GA)^*$, or equivalently, if

$$[Ax, y] = [x, Ay] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

If $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathfrak{H}$, we write

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{Q}^{\perp} = \{x : [x, \mathfrak{Q}] = \{0\}\}$$

and call \mathfrak{Q}^{\perp} the G -orthogonal companion of \mathfrak{Q} .

With the pencil (1) in the Banach space \mathfrak{B} we associate the operators \mathbf{L} (see (2)) and

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & L_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & I & \dots & L_3 & L_2 \\ I & L_{n-1} & \dots & L_2 & L_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}^n$. Evidently, \mathbf{G} is boundedly invertible. If $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{H}$ is a Hilbert space and the L_j are selfadjoint, then \mathbf{G} is also selfadjoint; moreover, \mathbf{G} is indefinite and \mathbf{L} is \mathbf{G} -selfadjoint.

In the next section together with the Banach space \mathfrak{B} we consider its dual \mathfrak{B}^* . Then (f^*, x) denotes the value of $f^* \in \mathfrak{B}^*$ at the point $x \in \mathfrak{B}$, and for a subspace $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ we write

$$\mathfrak{Q}^{\perp} = \{f^* \in \mathfrak{B}^* : (f^*, \mathfrak{Q}) = \{0\}\}.$$

2. Invariant subspaces of \mathbf{L} and factorizations of L .

Theorem 1. *The pencil (1) admits a factorization*

$$(6) \quad L(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda)K(\lambda)$$

with a pencil K : $K(\lambda) = \lambda^k I + \lambda^{k-1} K_{k-1} + \dots + \lambda K_1 + K_0$ of degree $k (< n)$ and a pencil \tilde{M}

of degree $n-k$ if and only if the operator L in \mathfrak{B} has an invariant subspace \mathfrak{R} of the form

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K_{11}x_1 + K_{12}x_2 + \dots + K_{1k}x_k \\ K_{21}x_1 + K_{22}x_2 + \dots + K_{2k}x_k \\ \vdots \\ K_{n-k,1}x_1 + K_{n-k,2}x_2 + \dots + K_{n-k,k}x_k \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in \mathfrak{B} \right\}$$

with bounded linear operators K_{ij} in \mathfrak{B} such that $-K_{n-k,j} = K_{k-j}$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, k$). In this case also the operators K_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq n-k-1$; $1 \leq j \leq k$) are uniquely determined by the operators K_0, K_1, \dots, K_{k-1} . Moreover, $\sigma(K) = \sigma(L|\mathfrak{R})$.²⁾

Proof. (α) In order to show that the invariance of the subspace (7) implies the existence of a factorization (6), we consider the resolvent $(L - \lambda I)^{-1}$ for sufficiently large λ . It has the following matrix form:

$$- \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{n-1} \\ \lambda^{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} L(\lambda)^{-1} [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{n-2}, \lambda^{n-1}] \begin{pmatrix} I & L_{n-1} & \dots & L_2 & L_1 \\ 0 & I & \dots & L_3 & L_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & L_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & \lambda I & \dots & \lambda^{n-3} I & \lambda^{n-2} I \\ 0 & 0 & I & \dots & \lambda^{n-4} I & \lambda^{n-3} I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & I & \lambda I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which can be verified by multiplication with the matrix of $L - \lambda I$ from the left. Applying $(L - \lambda I)^{-1}$ to an element of (7) with $x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_k = 0$, the $(n-k)$ -th component is

$$(8) \quad \lambda^k L(\lambda)^{-1} \tilde{M}(\lambda) x_1 + x_1,$$

²⁾ For the definition of the spectrum of a pencil see e.g. [3].

where

$$(9) \quad \tilde{M}(\lambda) = [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{n-2}, \lambda^{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} I & L_{n-1} & \dots & L_2 & L_1 \\ 0 & I & \dots & L_3 & L_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & L_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{11} \\ K_{21} \\ \vdots \\ K_{n-k,1} \\ I \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the invariance of \mathfrak{A} , the element (8) equals $K_{n-k,1}y_1 + \dots + K_{n-k,k}y_k$ with

$$y_j = -\lambda^{k-j} L(\lambda)^{-1} \tilde{M}(\lambda) x_1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

This gives $(\lambda^k I - \lambda^{k-1} K_{n-k,1} - \dots - K_{n-k,k}) L(\lambda)^{-1} \tilde{M}(\lambda) = I$, and the factorization (6) follows.

(β) Suppose, conversely, that $L(\lambda)$ has a factorization of the form (6). Define matrices \mathcal{K}_j ($k \leq j \leq n-1$) by the equations

$$\mathcal{K}_j = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -K_{k-1} & -K_{k-2} & \dots & -K_1 & -K_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ I & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & I \end{bmatrix}}_{j \text{ columns}} \left. \vphantom{\begin{bmatrix} -K_{k-1} \\ I \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} \right\} j+1 \text{ rows}$$

Here K_0, K_1, \dots, K_{k-1} are the coefficients of the factor $K(\lambda)$ appearing in (6). The first step in the partial division of a polynomial $\lambda^l B_l + \lambda^{l-1} B_{l-1} + \dots + \lambda B_1 + B_0$ by $K(\lambda)$ ($l \geq k$) from the right gives a remainder whose coefficients are the entries of the product

$$[B_l, B_{l-1}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \mathcal{K}_l.$$

Therefore, the factorization (6) yields

$$[L_{n-1} - K_{k-1}, L_{n-2} - K_{k-2}, \dots, L_{n-k} - K_0, L_{n-k-1}, \dots, L_0] \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k = 0.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} L \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} -K_{k-1} & \dots & -K_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ I & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k = \\ &= \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k \begin{bmatrix} -K_{k-1} & \dots & -K_1 & -K_0 \\ I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the invariance of the subspace $\mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k \mathfrak{B}^k$ under L . On the other hand, it is easy to see that this subspace has the form (7) with $K_{n-k,j} = -K_{k-j}$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, k$).

(γ) Suppose now again that the subspace \mathfrak{A} of the form (7) is invariant under L , and let $y \in \mathfrak{A}$. Considering the components with index $n-k, n-k-1, \dots, 2$ of Ly , one finds easily that the operators K_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq n-k-1; 1 \leq j \leq k$) are uniquely determined by $K_{n-k,1}, \dots, K_{n-k,k}$. Therefore,

$$(11) \quad \mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k \mathfrak{B}^k.$$

From (10), using the notation

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} -K_{k-1} & \dots & -K_1 & -K_0 \\ I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & I & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$(L - \lambda I) \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k = \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{K}_k (K - \lambda I),$$

and the last statement of the theorem follows. In the case $k=1$ Theorem 1 coincides with Lemma 2 of [5].

Taking adjoints ³⁾ in (6), we find

$$L^*(\lambda) = K^*(\lambda) \tilde{M}^*(\lambda),$$

where e.g. L^* denotes the pencil

$$L^*(\lambda) = \lambda^n I + \lambda^{n-1} L_{n-1}^* + \dots + \lambda L_1^* + L_0^*$$

in \mathfrak{B}^* . Therefore, by Theorem 1, the factor $\tilde{M}^*(\lambda)$ of $L^*(\lambda)$ corresponds to a subspace $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^* \subset \mathfrak{B}^*$, of the form

$$(12) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{M}_{11}^* f_1^* + \dots + \tilde{M}_{1,n-k}^* f_{n-k}^* \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{M}_{k1}^* f_1^* + \dots + \tilde{M}_{k,n-k}^* f_{n-k}^* \\ f_1^* \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-k}^* \end{bmatrix} : f_1^*, \dots, f_{n-k}^* \in \mathfrak{B}^* \right\},$$

which is invariant under the operator

$$\tilde{L} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{n-1}^* & -L_{n-2}^* & \dots & -L_1^* & -L_0^* \\ I & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

³⁾ If \mathfrak{B} is a Hilbert space, we also have to make a substitution $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^*$ (λ^* — complex conjugate of λ).

in $\mathfrak{B}^* = (\mathfrak{B}^*)^n$. We are going to prove that the pair of subspaces $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^* \subset \mathfrak{B}^*$, associated with the factorization (6), satisfies the relation

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^* = (\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp \quad (\text{see (5)}).$$

To this end first observe that, as one easily checks, $(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{L})^* = \mathbf{G}^* \tilde{\mathbf{L}}$. Therefore, $(\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp$ is invariant under $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$:

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp, \mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A}) = ((\mathbf{G}\mathbf{L})^*(\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp, \mathfrak{A}) = ((\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp, \mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A}) \subset ((\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp, \mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A}) = \{0\}.$$

Further, an element

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1^* \\ \vdots \\ v_k^* \\ f_1^* \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-k}^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{B}^*; \quad v_1^*, \dots, v_k^*, f_1^*, \dots, f_{n-k}^* \in \mathfrak{B}^*,$$

belongs to $(\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp$ if and only if

$$(14) \quad \begin{bmatrix} K_{11}^* \dots K_{n-k,1}^* & I & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ K_{12}^* \dots K_{n-k,2}^* & 0 & I & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ K_{1k}^* \dots K_{n-k,k}^* & 0 & 0 & \dots & I \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}^* \begin{bmatrix} v_1^* \\ \vdots \\ v_k^* \\ f_1^* \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-k}^* \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

From (14), in view of (5), the vectors v_1^*, \dots, v_k^* can be expressed through f_1^*, \dots, f_{n-k}^* , i.e., $(\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp$ has the form given on the right hand side of (12) with bounded operators \tilde{M}_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq k$; $1 \leq j \leq n-k$). It remains to show that $\tilde{M}_{k1}^*, \dots, \tilde{M}_{k,n-k}^*$ are the coefficients of the pencil $\tilde{M}^*(\lambda)$. The first row in (14) expresses v_k^* through f_1^*, \dots, f_{n-k}^* , thus it has the form

$$v_k^* - \tilde{M}_{k1}^* f_1^* - \dots - \tilde{M}_{k,n-k}^* f_{n-k}^* = 0.$$

In order to get the factor of $L^*(\lambda)$, belonging to the subspace $(\mathbf{G}\mathfrak{A})^\perp$, we have to make the formal substitutions $v_k^* \rightarrow \lambda^{n-k}$, $f_1^* \rightarrow \lambda^{n-k-1}$, \dots , $f_{n-k}^* \rightarrow 1$, so this factor is given by

$$(15) \quad [K_{11}^*, \dots, K_{n-k,1}^*, I, 0, \dots, 0] \mathbf{G}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \lambda^{n-k} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

But it is easy to see that the first $n-k+1$ components of

$$\mathbf{G}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \lambda^{n-k} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ L_{n-1}^* & I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_2^* & L_3^* & \dots & I & 0 \\ L_1^* & L_2^* & \dots & L_{n-1}^* & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \lambda^{n-2} \\ \lambda^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

are the same, that is, the pencil (15) coincides with $\tilde{M}^*(\lambda)$ of (9), and (13) is proved.

In the rest of the paper \mathfrak{B} will be a Hilbert space, $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{H}$, and the operators L_j will be selfadjoint, $L_j = L_j^*$ ($0 \leq j \leq n-1$). Then the operator \mathbf{L} is \mathbf{G} -selfadjoint [cf. (2) and (5)]. Further, the orthogonal complement appearing in (13) is to be taken with respect to the natural scalar product of $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}^n$, and (13) can also be written as $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^* = \mathfrak{A}^{\perp 1}$ (see (4)), i.e. the subspaces \mathfrak{A} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^*$ associated with the factorization (6) are \mathbf{G} -orthogonal companions of each other.

Theorem 2. *The pencil (1) with selfadjoint coefficients L_0, L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} admits a factorization*

$$L(\lambda) = M^*(\lambda)R(\lambda)K(\lambda)$$

into three pencils with leading coefficient I and of degree m, r, k ($m+r+k=n$) if and only if the operator \mathbf{L} in \mathfrak{H} has a pair of invariant subspaces \mathfrak{A} and $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{A}^{\perp 1}$ of the form (7) and

$$\mathfrak{M} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M_{11}x_1 + \dots + M_{1m}x_m \\ \vdots \\ M_{n-m,1}x_1 + \dots + M_{n-m,m}x_m \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{H} \right\}$$

with bounded linear operators M_{ij} in \mathfrak{H} . The subspace \mathfrak{M} and the pencil M are connected in the same way as \mathfrak{A} and K .

Proof. Suppose \mathbf{L} has a pair of invariant subspaces $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{M}$ with the properties mentioned in the theorem. The condition $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{A}^{\perp 1}$ implies

$$(16) \quad [K_{11}^*, K_{21}^*, \dots, K_{n-k,1}^*, I, 0, \dots, 0] \mathbf{G} \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & \dots & M_{1m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ M_{n-m,1} & M_{n-m,2} & \dots & M_{n-m,m} \\ I & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

If the matrices \mathcal{M}_j ($m \leq j \leq n-1$) correspond to the subspace \mathfrak{M} in the same way as the matrices \mathcal{K}_j correspond to \mathfrak{K} (cf. (11)), we get from (16)

$$(17) \quad [K_{11}^*, K_{21}^*, \dots, K_{n-k,1}^*, I, 0, \dots, 0] \mathbf{G} \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{M}_m = 0.$$

But the components of the vector

$$[K_{11}^*, K_{21}^*, \dots, K_{n-k,1}^*, I, 0, \dots, 0] \mathbf{G}$$

are the coefficients of $\tilde{M}^*(\lambda)$ (see (9)). Therefore (17) yields that the subspace $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \dots \mathcal{M}_m \mathfrak{B}^m$ is invariant under the operator $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ in \mathfrak{B}^{n-k} corresponding to the pencil $\tilde{M}^*(\lambda)$ (cf. (10)). Hence, by theorem 1, the polynomial $\tilde{M}^*(\lambda)$ has the right hand factor $M(\lambda)$. This reasoning can be reversed, and the statement follows from Theorem 1.

3. Maximal \mathbf{G} -nonnegative invariant subspaces and factorizations

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space, and let L_0, L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} be selfadjoint operators in \mathfrak{H} . In order to show the existence of invariant subspaces of \mathbf{L} of the form (7), we use results from operator theory in spaces with an indefinite metric. The key is

Theorem 3. *A maximal \mathbf{G} -nonnegative (\mathbf{G} -nonpositive) subspace $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ which is invariant under the operator \mathbf{L} has the form (7) with $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor$ $\left(k = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \right)$.*

Proof. We restrict ourselves to the case $n=2k$. Let \mathfrak{K} be maximal \mathbf{G} -nonnegative and invariant under \mathbf{L} . Suppose \mathfrak{K} contains a sequence of elements

$$\mathbf{x}^{(r)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(r)} \\ x_2^{(r)} \\ \vdots \\ x_n^{(r)} \end{bmatrix}; \quad r = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with $x_j^{(r)} \rightarrow 0$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$; $j = k+1, \dots, n$) and $\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j^{(r)}\|^2 = 1$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots$). Then we have $[\mathbf{x}^{(r)}, \mathbf{x}^{(r)}] \rightarrow 0$ and, by Schwarz's inequality, $[\mathbf{L}^* \mathbf{x}^{(r)}, \mathbf{x}^{(r)}] \rightarrow 0$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$; $r = 1, 2, \dots$). Now from the matrix representation of $\mathbf{GL}, \mathbf{GL}^3, \dots, \mathbf{GL}^{n-1}$ it easily follows that $x_j^{(r)} \rightarrow 0$ ($j = k, k-1, \dots, 1$). Contradiction. Therefore, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{K}$, the relations $x_j = 0$ ($k+1 \leq j \leq n$) imply $x_j = 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$). Now it is easy to see that for an $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{K}$ the first k components are uniquely defined by the last k components. Moreover, from the linearity of \mathfrak{K} and the foregoing consideration, they are even bounded

linear functions of the last k components. Therefore, with $\mathbf{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{2k} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{H}^k$ and a

bounded linear operator K' in \mathfrak{H}^k , the subspace \mathfrak{R} can be written as

$$\mathfrak{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K' x' \\ x' \end{pmatrix} : x' \in \mathfrak{D}' \right\},$$

where \mathfrak{D}' is evidently a closed subspace of \mathfrak{H}^k . It remains to show that $\mathfrak{D}' = \mathfrak{H}^k$. Suppose $\mathfrak{D}' \neq \mathfrak{H}^k$. Then there exists an $y'_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^k$ with the property

$$(G'_{12} \mathfrak{D}', y'_0) = \{0\}, \quad \text{where} \quad G'_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \dots 0 & I \\ 0 \dots I & L_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I \dots L_{n-k+1} & L_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\left[\mathfrak{R}, \begin{pmatrix} y'_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \{0\}, \quad \text{that is} \quad \begin{pmatrix} y'_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\perp}.$$

But $\begin{pmatrix} y'_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is G -neutral and does not belong to \mathfrak{R} , so that \mathfrak{R} cannot be maximal G -nonnegative. Contradiction.

Theorems 1 and 3 yield a factorization of a selfadjoint pencil (1) as soon as the existence of a maximal G -nonnegative subspace \mathfrak{R} of the corresponding operator L is known. From a result of PONTRJAGIN [6; Theorems IX. 7.2 and VIII. 3.2] we immediately obtain the following

Theorem 4. *Let L be a pencil in the finite dimensional unitary space \mathfrak{H} . Suppose that the coefficients of L are symmetric matrices. Decompose the nonreal spectrum σ_0 of L into two disjoint parts σ_I and σ_{II} such that $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_I^* = \emptyset$ ⁴⁾, $\sigma_{II} = \sigma_I^*$. Then L admits a factorization*

$$(18) \quad L(\lambda) = \tilde{L}_{II}(\lambda) L_I(\lambda),$$

where L_I and \tilde{L}_{II} are pencils of degree $\left[\frac{n}{2} \right]$ and $\left[\frac{n+1}{2} \right]$. In addition, we may require that the nonreal spectrum of L_I and \tilde{L}_{II} is σ_I and σ_{II} respectively.

Now let L be a pencil of the form (1) with selfadjoint coefficients L_j ($0 \leq j \leq n-1$) in the infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . Suppose L has only real zeros (in the terminology of [3]), that is, each polynomial $p_x(\lambda) = (L(\lambda)x, x)$ ($x \in \mathfrak{H}$; $x \neq 0$) has n real zeros $\lambda_1(x) \leq \lambda_2(x) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(x)$. Then the spectral zones

$$A_j = \{\lambda_j(x) : x \in \mathfrak{H}, x \neq 0\} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

⁴⁾ σ_I^* denotes the set of complex conjugates to the points of σ_I .

are intervals of the real axis, and the intersection of two different zones consists of no more than one point. Define

$$(19) \quad \Lambda_+ = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]} \Lambda_{2j+1}, \quad \Lambda_- = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \Lambda_{2j}.$$

By [3; Theorem 2] the operator L is definitizable in \mathfrak{H} , so that [7; Theorem 3.2] it has a maximal G -nonnegative and a maximal G -nonpositive invariant subspace, say \mathfrak{R}_+ and \mathfrak{R}_- , with $\sigma(L|_{\mathfrak{R}_+}) \subset \Lambda_+$ and $\sigma(L|_{\mathfrak{R}_-}) \subset \Lambda_-$. From theorems 1 and 3 we obtain

Theorem 5. *Suppose that the pencil L of degree n in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} has only real zeros. Then L admits a factorization of the form*

$$(20) \quad L(\lambda) = \tilde{L}_-(\lambda)L_+(\lambda),$$

where L_+ and \tilde{L}_- are pencils of degree $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, respectively, and $\sigma(L_+) \subset \Lambda_+$, $\sigma(\tilde{L}_-) \subset \Lambda_-$.

Taking adjoints in (20), we get a factorization

$$L(\lambda) = \tilde{L}_+(\lambda)L_-(\lambda),$$

where $L_-(\lambda) = \tilde{L}_-^*(\lambda)$, $\tilde{L}_+(\lambda) = L_+^*(\lambda)$. Evidently, degrees and spectra of L_- and \tilde{L}_+ have the same properties as those of \tilde{L}_- and L_+ . In general, the factorizations (18) and (20) are not uniquely determined by the properties listed in Theorems 4 and 5. A similar remark holds for Theorem 7 below.

4. A theorem on maximal G -nonnegative invariant subspaces

The following theorem is a slight generalization of [6, Theorem VIII. 3.2] as applied to bounded operators.

In the sequel, \mathcal{L}_∞ denotes the set of compact operators in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . If $B_2 - B_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ for two (bounded linear) operators B_1, B_2 in \mathfrak{H} , we write $B_1 \sim B_2$. Clearly, $B_1 \sim B_2$ is equivalent to $B_1^* \sim B_2^*$.

Theorem 6. *Suppose the operators A_1 and A_2 in \mathfrak{H} have the following properties:*

- (i) A_1 is G_1 -selfadjoint, A_2 is G_2 -selfadjoint;
- (ii) A_1 has a maximal uniformly G_1 -positive invariant subspace \mathfrak{Q}_+ ;
- (iii) $A_1 \sim A_2$, $G_1 \sim G_2$.

Then the nonreal spectrum σ_0 of A_2 is discrete. If the sets σ_I, σ_{II} form a partition of σ_0 with the properties $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_I^ = \emptyset$, $\sigma_{II} = \sigma_I^*$, then there exists a maximal G_2 -nonnegative subspace \mathfrak{R}_I which is invariant under A_2 and satisfies the conditions $\sigma(A_2|_{\mathfrak{R}_I}) \cap \sigma_0 = \sigma_I$,*

$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_2|\mathfrak{H}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_1|\mathfrak{L}_+)$. A similar statement holds with " G_2 -nonnegative" replaced by " G_2 -nonpositive".

Proof. We start with four simple remarks which can be checked easily.

(a) If (\cdot, \cdot) and $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ are two equivalent Hilbert scalar products on \mathfrak{H} and we have

$$(G_1 x, y) = (G'_1 x, y)_0, \quad (G_2 x, y) = (G'_2 x, y)_0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{H}$, then $G_1 \sim G_2$ implies $G'_1 \sim G'_2$.

(b) If G'_1, G'_2 are boundedly invertible selfadjoint operators, then $G'_1 \sim G'_2$ implies $|G'_1| \sim |G'_2|$ and $\text{sgn } G'_1 \sim \text{sgn } G'_2$.

(c) Let A be a G -selfadjoint operator in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H}_0 with scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$. Define a second Hilbert scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_1$ on \mathfrak{H}_0 by the equation

$$(x, y)_1 = (|G|x, y)_0 \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{H}_0).$$

Denote the adjoints of A with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_1$ by A° and A^* , respectively. Then the condition $A \sim A^*$ is equivalent to $|G|A \sim A^\circ|G|$.

(d) If A is G -selfadjoint, $G = P_+ - P_-$ with two orthogonal projectors P_+, P_- ($P_+ + P_- = I$) and $P_+ A P_- \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$, then for each maximal G -nonnegative invariant subspace \mathfrak{L} we have $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A|\mathfrak{L}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(P_+ A|P_+ \mathfrak{L})$.

Having made these remarks, consider the decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{L}_+ \dot{+} \mathfrak{L}_-$, where \mathfrak{L}_- denotes the G_1 -orthogonal companion of \mathfrak{L}_+ . Introduce the Hilbert scalar product

$$(x, y)_0 = (G_1 x_+, y_+) - (G_1 x_-, y_-);$$

$$x = x_+ + x_-, \quad y = y_+ + y_-; \quad x_+, y_+ \in \mathfrak{L}_+; \quad x_-, y_- \in \mathfrak{L}_-.$$

Conditions (i) and (ii) imply

$$(21) \quad A_1 = A_1^\circ,$$

where $^\circ$ denotes the adjoint with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$. Define operators G'_1, G'_2 by the equations

$$(G_1 x, y) = (G'_1 x, y)_0, \quad (G_2 x, y) = (G'_2 x, y)_0 \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{H}).$$

Then G'_1 is the difference of two complementary projectors which are orthogonal with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$. From (a) and (b) we have $G'_1 \sim G'_2$, $|G'_2| \sim I$, therefore in view of (iii) and (21),

$$|G'_2|A_2 - A_2^\circ|G'_2| \sim A_2 - A_2^\circ \sim A_1 - A_1^\circ = 0,$$

i.e.

$$(22) \quad |G'_2|A_2 \sim A_2^\circ|G'_2|.$$

Introducing the scalar product $(x, y)_1 = (|G'_2|x, y)_0$ in \mathfrak{H} , we have $(G_2 x, y) = (G'_2 x, y)_0 = (\text{sgn } G'_2 x, y)_1$. From remark (c) and (22) it follows that A_2 satisfies the conditions of [6; Theorem VIII. 3.2] relative to the decomposition of \mathfrak{H} into eigenspaces of

$\operatorname{sgn} G'_2$. Therefore A_2 has a maximal G_2 -nonnegative invariant subspace \mathfrak{R}_1 with the property $\sigma(A_2|_{\mathfrak{R}_1}) \cap \sigma_0 = \sigma_1$.

Moreover, for the projectors $P_1 = \frac{1}{2}(I + G'_1)$, $P_2 = \frac{1}{2}(I + \operatorname{sgn} G'_2)$ from (b) we obtain $P_1 \sim P_2$ and $P_1 A_1 P_1 \sim P_2 A_2 P_2$. By well-known results of perturbation theory, this implies that the operators $P_1 A_1 P_1$ and $P_2 A_2 P_2$ have the same essential spectrum. Then, with possible exception of the point zero, the same is true for the restrictions $P_1 A_1|_{P_1 \mathfrak{H}}$ and $P_2 A_2|_{P_2 \mathfrak{H}}$. All these considerations are invariant with respect to a shift $A_1 \rightarrow A_1 + \alpha I$, $A_2 \rightarrow A_2 + \alpha I$, α real. Therefore we find $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(P_1 A_1|_{P_1 \mathfrak{H}}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(P_2 A_2|_{P_2 \mathfrak{H}})$. Now the last assertion of the theorem follows from (d).

5. Further factorization theorems

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 1, 3 and 6 we have

Theorem 7. *Let L be a pencil of the form (1) in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . Assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- 1) $L_j = L'_j + L''_j$, where the operators L'_j , L''_j are selfadjoint ($j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$).
- 2) $L''_j \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ ($j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$).
- 3) The pencil $L^{(1)}: L^{(1)}(\lambda) = \lambda^n I + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda^j L'_j$ has only real zeros.
- 4) For the spectral zones $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_n$ of $L^{(1)}$ we have

$$\bar{\Lambda}_i \cap \bar{\Lambda}_j = \emptyset \quad (i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad {}^5)$$

Then the nonreal spectrum σ_0 of L is discrete. If the sets σ_I, σ_{II} form a partition of σ_0 with the properties $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_I^* = \emptyset$, $\sigma_{II} = \sigma_I^*$, there exists a factorization $L(\lambda) = \tilde{L}_{II}(\lambda) L_I(\lambda)$ with two pencils L_I, \tilde{L}_{II} of degree $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil$ such that the nonreal spectrum of L_I and \tilde{L}_{II} is σ_I and σ_{II} , respectively, while ${}^6)$ $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(L_I) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(L) \cap \Lambda_+, \sigma_{\text{ess}}(\tilde{L}_{II}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(L) \cap \Lambda_-$ (cf. (19)).

⁵⁾ Condition 4) can be replaced by the weaker condition 4'): The corresponding operator $L^{(1)}$ in \mathfrak{H} has no singular critical points (see [2]).

⁶⁾ The essential spectrum of a pencil L is by definition the essential spectrum of the corresponding operator L (see (2)).

Proof. We have to show that there exists a maximal \mathbf{G} -nonnegative subspace invariant under \mathbf{L} . But the operators

$$\mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{L}, \quad \mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{L}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -L'_{n-1} & \dots & -L'_1 & -L'_0 \\ I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & I & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & L_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ I & L_{n-1} & \dots & L_1 & L_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & L'_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ I & L'_{n-1} & \dots & L'_2 & L'_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfy the conditions of theorem 6 as soon as \mathfrak{L}_+ is chosen to be the Riesz spectral subspace of \mathbf{L}_1 belonging to Λ_+ .

Obviously, Theorem 7 contains Theorem 4. Another example for the application of Theorem 7 is the following. Take $n=2$, $L'_0 = \alpha I$ (α a real number), and suppose that conditions 1), 2) of theorem 7 are fulfilled. Then conditions 3) and 4) are also fulfilled if and only if

$$(L'_1 x, x)^2 - 4\alpha \|x\|^4 \geq \gamma \|x\|^4 \quad (x \in \mathfrak{H})$$

with some $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem 8. Let L be a pencil of odd degree $n=2k+1$ with selfadjoint coefficients L_0, L_1, \dots, L_{2k} . Suppose there exists a closed subset Ω of the open upper half plane such that each polynomial $p_x(\lambda) = (L(\lambda)x, x)$ ($x \in \mathfrak{H}$, $x \neq 0$) has one zero on the real axis and the other $2k$ zeros are in $\Omega \cup \Omega^*$. Then L admits a factorization of the form

$$(23) \quad L(\lambda) = K^*(\lambda)(\lambda I - Z_0)K(\lambda),$$

where K is a pencil of degree k with leading coefficient I , the operator Z_0 is selfadjoint, and $\sigma(K) = \sigma(L) \cap \Omega$, $\sigma(Z_0) = \sigma(L) \cap \mathbb{R}^1$.

Proof. The Riesz spectral subspace of \mathbf{L} belonging to $\Omega \cap \sigma(L)$ is maximal \mathbf{G} -neutral and maximal \mathbf{G} -nonpositive. Therefore (23) follows from Theorems 2 and 3.

The existence of a factorization (6) with $k=1$, $K(\lambda) = \lambda I - K_0$ implies that K_0 is a solution of the equation

$$L(K_0) \equiv K_0^n + L_{n-1}K_0^{n-1} + \dots + L_1K_0 + L_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} L(\lambda)(\lambda I - K_0)^{-1} d\lambda = 0$$

(\mathcal{C}_0 — contour surrounding $\sigma(K_0)$). Conversely, every solution K_0 of $L(K_0) = 0$ evidently gives rise to a factorization (6) with $k=1$ and $K(\lambda) = \lambda I - K_0$.

In the case $n=3$, under the hypotheses of Theorem 8 the equation $L(Z) = 0$ has three solutions Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 . They are uniquely determined by the properties

$$\sigma(Z_1) = \sigma(L) \cap \Omega, \quad \sigma(Z_2) = \sigma(L) \cap \Omega^*, \quad \sigma(Z_3) = \sigma(L) \cap \mathbb{R}^1.$$

The operator Z_3 is similar to a selfadjoint operator. Indeed, the existence and the properties of Z_1 and Z_2 follow from Theorem 8, the existence of Z_3 from [8] (see also [9; Theorem 6]). We have the factorizations

$$L(\lambda) = (\lambda I - Z_1^*)(\lambda I + Z_1^* + Z_1 + L_2)(\lambda I - Z_1) = (\lambda I - Z_3^*)(\lambda I + Z_3^* + Z_1 + L_2)(\lambda I - Z_1)$$

and their analogs with Z_2 instead of Z_1 . If L is of even degree $n = 2k$ and $\sigma(L) \cap R^1 = \emptyset$, then from Theorems 2 and 3 we get the well-known factorization

$$L(\lambda) = K^*(\lambda)K(\lambda),$$

where K is a pencil of degree k and $\sigma(K) = \sigma_0$, the part of $\sigma(L)$ located in the upper half plane. Moreover, K is uniquely determined by these properties as the corresponding subspace \mathfrak{R} is uniquely determined by the properties $\sigma(\mathbf{L}|\mathfrak{R}) = \sigma_0$, $\sigma(\mathbf{L}|\mathfrak{R}^{(\perp)}) = \sigma_0^*$. Under the weaker condition $L(\lambda) \geq 0$ ($\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$) a similar factorization was proved in [10; Theorem 3.3].

Literature

- [1] M. G. KREIN, H. LANGER, On some mathematical principles of the linear theory of damped vibrations of continua, *Proceedings International Symposium on Applications of the Theory of Functions in Continuum Mechanics, Tbilisi 1963*, vol. 2, 283—322 (Moscow, 1965). [Russian]
- [2] H. LANGER, Über stark gedämpfte Scharen im Hilbertraum, *J. Math. Mech.*, **17** (1968), 685—705.
- [3] H. LANGER, Über eine Klasse polynomialer Scharen selbstadjungierter Operatoren im Hilbertraum. I, *J. Functional Analysis*, **12** (1973), 13—29.
- [4] H. LANGER, Über eine Klasse polynomialer Scharen selbstadjungierter Operatoren im Hilbertraum, II, *J. Functional Analysis*, **16** (1974), 221—234.
- [5] H. LANGER, Über eine Klasse nichtlinearer Eigenwertprobleme, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **35** (1973), 79—93.
- [6] J. BOGNÁR, *Indefinite inner product spaces*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1974).
- [7] H. LANGER, Invariante Teilräume definisierbarer J-selbstadjungierter Operatoren, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, Ser. A I, **475** (1971), 1—23.
- [8] V. I. MACAJEV, A. I. VIROZUB, On spectral properties of a class of selfadjoint operator functions, *Funkcional. Analiz*, **8:1** (1974), 1—10. [Russian]
- [9] H. LANGER, Zur Spektraltheorie polynomialer Scharen selbstadjungierter Operatoren, *Math. Nachrichten*, **65** (1975), 301—319.
- [10] M. ROSENBLUM, J. ROVNYAK, The factorization problem for non-negative operator valued functions, *Bull. AMS*, **77** (1971), 287—317.